

\*E-file 8/30/07\*

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990  
 United States Attorney  
 JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143  
 Assistant United States Attorney  
 Chief, Civil Division  
 ILA C. DEISS, NY SBN 3052909  
 Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
 San Francisco, California 94102  
 Telephone: (415) 436-7124  
 FAX: (415) 436-7169

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN JOSE DIVISION

ALIREZA SHIRVANI MAHDAVI,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALBERTO GONZALES, United States  
 Attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice;  
 MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary of the  
 Department of Homeland Security;  
 EMILIO T. GONZALEZ, Director of United  
 States Citizenship and Immigration Services;  
 CHRISTINA POULOS, Director of the  
 California Service Center, United States  
 Citizenship and Immigration Services;  
 ROBERT S. MUELLER III, Director of the  
 Federal Bureau of Investigation,

Defendants.

No. C 07-2193 RMW

**PARTIES' JOINT REQUEST TO BE  
 EXEMPT FROM FORMAL ADR  
 PROCESS**

Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR Unit's Internet site <[www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov](http://www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov)>, discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit from any of them. Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be beneficial because this mandamus action is limited to plaintiff's request that this Court compel

1 Defendants to adjudicate the application for adjustment of status. Given the substance of the  
2 action and the lack of any potential middle ground, ADR will only serve to multiply the  
3 proceedings and unnecessarily tax court resources. Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c),  
4 the parties request the case be removed from the ADR Multi-Option Program and that they be  
5 excused from participating in the ADR phone conference and any further formal ADR process.

6 Dated: July 6, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

7 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS  
8 United States Attorney

9  
10 /s/  
11 ILA C. DEISS  
12 Assistant United States Attorney  
13 Attorney for Defendants


14 Dated: July 6, 2007

15 /s/  
16 JUSTIN FOK  
17 Attorney for Plaintiff

18 **ORDER**

19 Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Date: 8/30/07

21   
22 HOWARD R. LLOYD  
23 United States Magistrate Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28